

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/997,202	12/23/1997	GERALD L. MYERS	1-5703	1020
27210	7590 11/05/2002			
MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET			EXAMINER	
			PIAZZA CORCORAN, GLADYS JOSEFINA	
TOLEDO, OF	H 43604		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
			1733	31
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   MyERS, GERALD L			
## Examiner   Art Unit		Application No.	Aplicant(s)
Claim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application.   Status   Sidim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application   sis/are withdrawn from consideration.   Claim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application   sis/are application   sis/are objected to.   sis/are		08/997,202	MYERS, GERALD L.
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  I the period for may be available under the provisions of 3f CPR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  I the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely possible in the stallary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely will him the stallary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely will minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely will minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely will minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely will minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are reply bettined to the specified time to the specified time of the specified of the communication.  If all the period time and the specified to the specified time and the specified time.  I Status  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions: of 37 CFR 1.136(s), his on event, however, may a reply be timely filed  • Expensions of time may be available under the provisions: of 37 CFR 1.136(s), his one event, however, may a reply be timely filed  • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions: of 37 CFR 1.136(s), his one event, however, may a reply be timely filed  • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  • If ND period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  • Province of the specified above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  • Any reply received by the Office through the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire some pariod will apply and will expire some any accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Status  • Province this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  • Applicantion of Claims  • Applicant			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementor of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(6). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely fied after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  For the provision of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the		pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 25-42 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)   Claim(s) 25-42 is/are allowed.  6)   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	September 2002 .	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 25-42 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	
4) □ Ctaim(s) 25-42 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Ctaim(s) 25-42 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of Paffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	closed in accordance with the practice under		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	·	nalication	
5)  Claim(s) 25-42 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 44 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  intervie		• •	
6) ☐ Claim(s) 44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  51 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		withom consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Aktachment(s)  10   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  51   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  22   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>	or election requirement	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		or orodion requirement.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  10 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		. ,	
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	

Application/Control Number: 08/997,202

Art Unit: 1733

#### **FINAL ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art taken with the conventional state of the art as evidenced by Dyckma (US Patent No. 1,943,658), Jedlicka et al. (US Patent No. 4,954,197), Bussard (US Patent No. 4,838,965), and Bethea (US Patent No. 4,324,605).

The Admitted Prior Art discloses a method of manufacturing a driveshaft that is balanced for rotation about an axis by providing a driveshaft that is unbalanced for rotation about an axis, providing a balance weight, and providing an adhesive material between the rotatably unbalanced driveshaft and the balance weight to adhere the balance weight at a location for balancing the unbalanced driveshaft for rotation about an axis and curing the adhesive to provide a driveshaft that is balanced for rotation about an axis (page 1, line 26 to page 2, line 20).

It is considered well known and considered conventional in the art of bonding two articles together to move the two articles toward each other with a first portion of adhesive between the articles and a second portion of the adhesive is not disposed between the two articles in order to form a flange to more securely bond the articles together. For example, Dyckma discloses a method of bonding beads to fabric where

Application/Control Number: 08/997,202

Art Unit: 1733

the adhesive between the articles is pressed so that a portion of adhesive spreads beyond the periphery of the bead in order to form a flange around the bead that embraces the bead and insures retention of the bead on the fabric (column 2, lines 60-75). Jedlicka discloses another example where a chip is adhesively bonded to a substrate and a portion of the adhesive extends beyond the periphery of the chip in order to more securely bond the articles together (column 3, lines 5-68). Bussard also discloses an example of adhering a holograph to a substrate where adhesive between the two articles is pressed and squeezed out to form a flange that retains the hologram on the substrate with a more secure bond (column 2, line 64 to column 3, line 8). Finally, Bethea also discloses a method of adhesively bonding a tile to a substrate where the tiles are pressed into the adhesive forming a second portion of adhesive that extends beyond the periphery of the tile in order to anchor the tiles until the adhesive cures (abstract lines 11-15, column 2 lines 10-40, column 6, lines 47-55, and figures 4 and 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method of adhering a balance weight to a driveshaft as shown by the Admitted Prior Art by moving the weight toward the driveshaft toward one another such that a first portion of adhesive is between the weight and the driveshaft and a second portion of adhesive is not disposed between the weight and driveshaft as it is considered a conventional expedient of one of ordinary skill in the art of bonding and as further exemplified by the art taken as a whole in order to more securely bond the articles to each other as shown in Dyckma, Jedlicka et al., Bussard, and Bethea.

Art Unit: 1733

The claims also recite the limitation that the second portion of adhesive material is initially cured to temporarily retain the weight on the driveshaft and subsequently the first portion of the adhesive material is cured to permanently retain the weight on the driveshaft. Such a limitation is met with the use of a variety of well known and conventional curable adhesives. For example, when a solvent based adhesive is used, the area of the adhesive exposed to the atmosphere (the second portion) will cure prior to the adhesive between the two articles. Such a limitation is also met if a moisture cure adhesive is utilized, the area of adhesive exposed to the moisture in the atmosphere (second portion) will cure prior to the area of adhesive between the articles (first portion). This limitation is also met when external sources of curing agents (such as heat or ultra violet radiation) are used to cure the adhesive. The portion of adhesive (the second portion) which is closest to or directly exposed to the curing device (the heat or UV rays) will cure prior to the adhesive between the two articles (the first portion).

Alternatively, it is well known in the art to provide temporary securement of articles in the manufacturing industry by curing only a portion of the adhesive in order to allow for further processing until the entire adhesive is cured and the articles are permanently secured. For example, Jedlicka discloses that the second portion of the adhesive (the adhesive not between the chip and the substrate) is cured by an accelerated curing process (UV light) in order to temporarily hold the chip in place until the chip is permanently secured to the substrate by subsequent curing of the first portion of adhesive (column 3, lines 5-68; column 4, lines 10-20). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method of securing a balanced weight to a driveshaft by the method as shown by the Admitted Prior Art, Dyckma, Jedlicka et al., Bussard, and Bethea by initially curing the second portion of adhesive in order to temporarily hold the weight on the driveshaft until the first portion is subsequently cured to permanently retain the weight on the driveshaft as it is considered well known in the bonding arts and well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art and further exemplified by Jedlicka. Only the expected results would be attained.

Page 5

3. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art taken with the conventional state of the art as evidenced by Dyckma (US Patent No. 1,943,658), Jedlicka et al. (US Patent No. 4,954,197), Bussard (US Patent No. 4,838,965), and Bethea (US Patent No. 4,324,605) as taken with Ellis, Jr. (US Patent No. 4,998,448) and Fritz (US Patent No. 4,895,551).

The Admitted Prior Art discloses a method of manufacturing a driveshaft that is balanced for rotation about an axis by providing a driveshaft that is unbalanced for rotation about an axis, providing a balance weight, and providing an adhesive material between the rotatably unbalanced driveshaft and the balance weight to adhere the balance weight at a location for balancing the unbalanced driveshaft for rotation about an axis and curing the adhesive to provide a driveshaft that is balanced for rotation about an axis (page 1, line 26 to page 2, line 20).

It is considered well known and considered conventional in the art of bonding two articles together to move the two articles toward each other with a first portion of

Art Unit: 1733

adhesive between the articles and a second portion of the adhesive is not disposed between the two articles in order to form a flange to more securely bond the articles together. For example, Dyckma discloses a method of bonding beads to fabric where the adhesive between the articles is pressed so that a portion of adhesive spreads beyond the periphery of the bead in order to form a flange around the bead that embraces the bead and insures retention of the bead on the fabric (column 2, lines 60-75). Jedlicka discloses another example where a chip is adhesively bonded to a substrate and a portion of the adhesive extends beyond the periphery of the chip in order to more securely bond the articles together (column 3, lines 5-68). Bussard also discloses an example of adhering a holograph to a substrate where adhesive between the two articles is pressed and squeezed out to form a flange that retains the hologram on the substrate with a more secure bond (column 2, line 64 to column 3, line 8). Finally, Bethea also discloses a method of adhesively bonding a tile to a substrate where the tiles are pressed into the adhesive forming a second portion of adhesive that extends beyond the periphery of the tile in order to anchor the tiles until the adhesive cures (abstract lines 11-15, column 2 lines 10-40, column 6, lines 47-55, and figures 4 and 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method of adhering a balance weight to a driveshaft as shown by the Admitted Prior Art by moving the weight toward the driveshaft toward one another such that a first portion of adhesive is between the weight and the driveshaft and a second portion of adhesive is not disposed between the weight and driveshaft as it is considered a conventional expedient of one of ordinary skill in the art of bonding

Application/Control Number: 08/997,202

Art Unit: 1733

and as further exemplified by the art taken as a whole in order to more securely bond the articles to each other as shown in Dyckma, Jedlicka et al., Bussard, and Bethea.

The claims also recite the limitation that the second portion of adhesive material is initially cured to temporarily retain the weight on the driveshaft and subsequently the first portion of the adhesive material is cured to permanently retain the weight on the driveshaft. In the driveshaft balance art, it is well known to accelerate the adhesive by using external sources. For example, Ellis discloses curing an adhesive for balancing a driveshaft by using heat, UV or IR for accelerating the curing time of the adhesive (column 2, lines 1-6, column 3, lines 19-39). Also, Fritz discloses accelerating the adhesive used in balancing a drive shaft by heating, UV or IR (column 2, liners 1-10, column 3, lines 50-55). Clearly, the portion of the adhesive directly exposed to the accelerated curing means (the second portion) will cure initially, prior to the adhesive between the balanced weight and the driveshaft.

Alternatively, it is well known in the art to provide temporary securement of articles in the manufacturing industry by curing only a portion of the adhesive in order to allow for further processing until the entire adhesive is cured and the articles are permanently secured. For example, Jedlicka discloses that the second portion of the adhesive (the adhesive not between the chip and the substrate) is cured by an accelerated curing process (UV light) in order to temporarily hold the chip in place until the chip is permanently secured to the substrate by subsequent curing of the first portion of adhesive (column 3, lines 5-68; column 4, lines 10-20). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the second portion of adhesive in the method of

Application/Control Number: 08/997,202 Page 8

Art Unit: 1733

balancing a driveshaft as shown by the admitted prior art and Dyckma, Jedlicka et al., Bussard, and Bethea would cure initially to the first portion of adhesive when exposing the adhesive to an external accelerating curing source as well known in the driveshaft art as shown by Ellis and Fritz. One of ordinary skill in the art would further recognize temporarily securing the balance weight to the driveshaft by initially curing the second portion of adhesive to allow further processing prior to subsequent curing of the first portion of adhesive. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method of securing a balanced weight to a driveshaft by the method as shown by the Admitted Prior Art, Dyckma, Jedlicka et al., Bussard, and Bethea by initially curing the second portion of adhesive in order to temporarily hold the weight on the driveshaft until the first portion is subsequently cured to permanently retain the weight on the driveshaft as it is considered well known in the bonding arts and well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art and further exemplified by Jedlicka particularly since it is known to use accelerated means for curing adhesive in the driveshaft balancing art as shown by Ellis and Fritz. Only the expected results would be attained.

## Allowable Subject Matter

**4.** Claims 25-42 are allowed for the reasons as set forth in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the prior Office Action, paper number 18.

# Response to Arguments

**5.** Applicant's arguments filed September 25, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant argues on pages 3 and 4 that the art of record does not show a second portion of adhesive the is not disposed between the weight and driveshaft that is initially cures. Applicant has amended the claim to recite that the second portion is now not disposed between the weight and the driveshaft. Consequently, the newly cited references, Dyckma, Jedlicka et al., Bussard, and Bethea, were cited to show that it is well known in the bonding art to provide a second portion of adhesive that is not between the two articles in order to more securely bond the two articles.

Applicant further argues on page 4 that there is no showing in the art of initially curing the second portion to temporarily secure the weight and subsequently curing the first portion. As discussed above, depending upon the type of adhesive used to secure the weight to the driveshaft, the second portion exposed to the moisture and atmosphere would cure prior to the first portion between the weight and driveshaft.

Also, it is known in the bonding art to temporarily cure the second portion of adhesive prior to the first portion as shown by Jedlicka. Furthermore, this would have been particularly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because it is known in the balancing driveshaft art to accelerate curing of adhesive with external sources as exemplified by Ellis and Fritz.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gladys J. Piazza Corcoran whose telephone number is (703) 305-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5:30pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gladys JP Corcoran October 28, 2002